

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_106982
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Impact of High Density Cotton Growing System in Telangana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This manuscript is very useful for entrepreneurs or cotton farmers</p> <p>2. The title of the article is appropriate</p> <p>3. The abstract is complete</p> <p>4. Subsections and structures are appropriate</p> <p>5. This manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. There are many references to articles discussing cotton, so that farmers can get an idea of its economic benefits</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of articles in English is suitable for scientific communication</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> There aren't ethical issues in this manuscript	
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?	There aren't competing interests in this manuscript	
If plagiarism is suspected, please provide related proofs or web links.	No plagiarism occurs	

PART 3: Declaration of Competing Interest of the reviewer:

Here reviewer should declare his/her competing interest. If nothing to declare he/she can write "I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer"

I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer"

PART 4: Objective Evaluation:

Guideline	MARKS of this manuscript
Give OVERALL MARKS you want to give to this manuscript (Highest: 10 Lowest: 0) Guideline: Accept As It Is: (>9-10) Minor Revision: (>8-9) Major Revision: (>7-8) Serious Major revision: (>5-7) Rejected (with repairable deficiencies and may be reconsidered): (>3-5) Strongly rejected (with irreparable deficiencies.): (>0-3)	8,75

PART 5: Reviewer Details:

This information is mandatory to prepare the Reviewer Certificate properly.
Certificate preparation will not be possible if incomplete information is received.

Name of the Reviewer	Dr. Maria Widyastuti, MM
Department of Reviewer	Management
University or Institution of Reviewer	Darma Cendika Chatolic University
Country of Reviewer	Indonesia
Position: (Professor/lecturer, etc.) of Reviewer	Lecturer
Email ID of Reviewer	Maria.widyastuti@ukdc.ac.id
WhatsApp Number of Reviewer	0818598983
5-8 Keywords regarding expertise of Reviewer	Must be objective and detailed without interests

Review Form 1.7