

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_106241
Title of the Manuscript:	A STUDY ON EFFECTIVENESS OF DAIRY FARMING RELATED FRONT LINE DEMONSTRATIONS OF KRISHI VIGYAN KENDRA SHIVAMOGGA ON KNOWLEDGE LEVEL OF DAIRY FARMERS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This text is important for cattle breeders so that management is not carried out from generation to generation in the same way but needs to look at technological developments in order to increase yields. 2. The title of the article is appropriate 3. In the abstract it is necessary to add the number of respondents and the criteria 4. subsections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate 5. the text is scientifically correct 6. If you have additional reference suggestions, please indicate them in the review form. References preferably five years back 	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The quality of articles in English is suitable for scientific communication</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>This article is good because it provides an understanding of the importance of technology in business management</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> There are no ethical issues in this manuscript	
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?	There are no competing interests in this manuscript	
If plagiarism is suspected, <u>please provide related proofs or web links.</u>	no plagiarism occurs	

PART 3: Declaration of Competing Interest of the reviewer:

Here reviewer should declare his/her competing interest. If nothing to declare he/she can write "I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer"

"I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer"

PART 4: Objective Evaluation:

Guideline	MARKS of this manuscript
Give OVERALL MARKS you want to give to this manuscript (Highest: 10 Lowest: 0) Guideline: Accept As It Is: (>9-10) Minor Revision: (>8-9) Major Revision: (>7-8) Serious Major revision: (>5-7) Rejected (with repairable deficiencies and may be reconsidered): (>3-5) Strongly rejected (with irreparable deficiencies.): (>0-3)	9

PART 5: Reviewer Details:

This information is mandatory to prepare the Reviewer Certificate properly.
Certificate preparation will not be possible if incomplete information is received.

Name of the Reviewer	Dr. Maria Widyastuti, MM
----------------------	--------------------------

Review Form 1.7

Department of Reviewer	Management
University or Institution of Reviewer	Darma Cendika Catholic University
Country of Reviewer	Indonesia
Position: (Professor/lecturer, etc.) of Reviewer	Lecturer
Email ID of Reviewer	Maria.widyastuti@ukdc.ac.id
WhatsApp Number of Reviewer	0818598983
5-8 Keywords regarding expertise of Reviewer	Must be objective and detailed without interests

A Study on Effectiveness of Dairy Farming Related Front Line Demonstrations of Krishi Vigyan Kendra Shivamogga on Knowledge Level of Dairy Farmers

Vol 45, Issue 10, 2023

<https://journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/article/view/2204>

ABOUT ARCHIVES INDEXING PUBLICATION CHARGE SUBMISSION TESTIMONIALS ANNOUNCEMENTS Search

Home / Archives / 2023 - Volume 45 [Issue 10] / Original Research Article

[Full Article - PDF](#)
[Review History](#)

Published: 2023-09-09
DOI: 10.9734/jeai/2023/v45i102204
Page: 116-121
Issue: 2023 - Volume 45 [Issue 10]

A Study on Effectiveness of Dairy Farming Related Front Line Demonstrations of Krishi Vigyan Kendra Shivamogga on Knowledge Level of Dairy Farmers

Suresh
A.H & VS, Kalaburgi Dist, Karnataka, India.

Gopala G. T. ✉
Veterinary College, KVAFSU, Vinobanagara, Shivamogga, Karnataka-577204, India.

Harisha M.
Veterinary College, KVAFSU, Vinobanagara, Shivamogga, Karnataka-577204, India.

Ashok M.
ICAR- KVK, KSNUAHS, Navile, Shivamogga, Karnataka, India.

Rudresh B. H.
Veterinary College, KVAFSU, Vinobanagara, Shivamogga, Karnataka-577204, India.

Girdhar K. S.
Veterinary College, KVAFSU, Vinobanagara, Shivamogga, Karnataka-577204, India.

*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Abstract

The lack of transfer of technology from research system to the client system is the main problem in increasing animal husbandry production in the developing world. The quality and quantity of milk production can be increased if farmer follows scientific dairy farming practices. This is possible through the FLDs as it is an important and appropriate extension method which makes it possible to disseminate technology to the final user. It has played significant role in increasing the knowledge and adoption of scientific dairy farming practices by dairy farmers; thus, the present study was undertaken to assess the knowledge level of dairy farmers. The present study was conducted with the help of Ex-post facto research design with a sample size of 60 FLD beneficiaries and 60 Non beneficiaries. The knowledge level of respondents about scientific dairy management practices were checked in following subheadings viz knowledge about breeding, feed and feeding practices, health of dairy animals and other management practices in dairy farming and it is found that FLD beneficiaries had more knowledge in scientific dairy farming than non-beneficiaries. The probable reason for high knowledge among beneficiaries might be that the beneficiaries have been benefited by different extension activities,

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/106241>

OPEN PEER REVIEW SUPPORT company

Home Contact

Peer Review History: A Study on Effectiveness of Dairy Farming Related Front Line Demonstrations of Krishi Vigyan Kendra Shivamogga on Knowledge Level of Dairy Farmers

Editor(s):
(1) Dr. Liliang Cao, Professor, Sun Yat-Sen University, China.

Reviewers:
(1) Maria Widyastuti, Dharma Cendika Catholic University, Indonesia
(2) Timothy A. Okesowo, Lagos State University of Science and Technology, Nigeria.

Additional Reviewers:
(1) Mwaure Mburu, Kenyatta University, Kenya.

Additional Reviewers: (Comments received after deadline)

Open Peer Review Policy: [Click Here](#)

Specific Comment:
Average Peer review marks at initial stage: 8/10
Average Peer review marks at publication stage: 9/10

Peer Review History:

Stage 1 Original Manuscript File 1 NA
Stage 2 Peer review report_1 (Maria Widyastuti, Indonesia) File 1 NA
Stage 2 Peer review report_2 (Timothy A. Okesowo, Nigeria) File 1 File 2
Stage 2 Revised_MS_v1_and_Feedback_v1 File 1 File 2
Stage 3 Comment_Editor_1_v1 File 1 NA