

Url: <https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#search/inderscience/FMfcgxwHNDcSLrhzWQbTKmWWcWDmZrpg>

Inderscience Publishers: IJPQM-43551 - Article entering review process

Inbox

Updates



Inderscience Submissions <submissions@inderscience.com> Wed, May 6, 2020, 11:43 AM

to me



Dear Dr. Yustinus Budi Hermanto,

Thank you for your recent submission, reference code IJPQM-43551, entitled, 'The Role of Servant Leadership and Work Engagement in Improving Extrea_Role Behavior and Teacher Performance'

submitted to Int. J. of Productivity and Quality Management.

We are pleased to inform you that your article has passed the screening stage and is entering the review process.

Your article will now be checked to ensure it meets the subject scope and quality levels of the journal and will be sent for peer-review if it is suitable.

You can track the progress of your article by logging in to the Inderscience Submissions system at <https://www.indersciencesubmissions.com/>

Your username is: yustinusbudi

You can get a password reminder on the log in page.

Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Kind regards,
The Inderscience Submissions Team,

Inderscience Publishers Ltd.
submissions@inderscience.com



ReplyForward

Url: <https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#search/inderscience/FMfcgxwJWXRkrLJNqGXkJbkGscXbdZML>

Inderscience Publishers: IJPQM-43551 : Request to revise your article

External

Inbox

Updates



Inderscience Submissions <no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com>
to me

Tue, Jun 23, 2020,
3:32 AM



Dear Dr. Yustinus Budi Hermanto,

We have now completed the reviewing process of your article IJPQM-43551 entitled "The Role of Servant Leadership and Work Engagement in Improving Extrea_Role Behavior and Teacher Performance", which you submitted to the Int. J. of Productivity and Quality Management, and we are pleased to say that we consider it a good candidate for publication, once a number of revisions are made.

Please read the reviewers' recommendations listed below and revise your article in light of their comments.

To help the reviewers check that you have made the required corrections, please insert at the beginning of your revised article a detailed response to the reviewers' recommendations. Make sure you address each recommendation thoroughly and

methodically. You should also show where the reviewers can find your change in the revised article by referring to the page number and preferably highlighting the updated text.

When revising your article please ensure that your reference list is up to date and that any recent articles, including those from IJPQM, which are of relevance to your article are included. Having a broad and up to date reference list is vital to the quality of an article, and very useful to the readership.

You will need to upload your revised article to our submissions system. The reviewers will then re-review your article. If they accept your revised version without any additional changes, it will move onto the next step in the publication process.

Please be reassured that only very few submissions are accepted without requiring some revision.

We look forward to receiving your resubmission within the next 30 days.

Please do not use the 'Reply' function with this email - we will not receive your message.

Kind regards,
Prof. Angappa Gunasekaran
Int. J. of Productivity and Quality Management

Reviewers' Comments to Author

REVIEWER 1 (Offline Reviewer)

Review date: 22 Jun 2020

MANUSCRIPT FEATURES

Originality of the work: Acceptable

Subject relevance: Marginal

Professional/industrial relevance: Marginal

Completeness of the work: Marginal

Acknowledgement of the work of others by references: Marginal

Organisation of the manuscript: Marginal

Clarity in writing tables graphs and illustrations: Acceptable

Likelihood of passing the test of time: Marginal

QUALITY AND RIGOUR

Have you checked the equations and/or statistics? (if applicable): yes

Are you aware of prior publication or presentation of this work?: yes

Is the manuscript free of commercialism?: yes

Is the article too long?: no

RECOMMENDATION: Acceptable with major revisions

REVIEW COMMENTS:

Changes which must be made before publication:

The subject of the paper looks interesting and suitable for IJPQM. However, the paper requires a revision before it can be accepted for publication:

1. Number the main and sub.sections correctly to avoid any confusion.
2. The introduction section should include 4.6 latest journal references (2017.2020) and appropriate extracts from them to motivate the researchers in the subject.
3. Develop the literature review part of the paper to include 6.8 latest journal references (2017.2020) and relevant extracts from them. Please include 4.6 references from IJPQM.
4. The research objectives and methodology should be better explained and motivated.
5. The theoretical/conceptual framework/mathematical model should be described and motivated further.

6. The results and analysis section should be better analyzed and developed further.
7. Develop the conclusions section to include the unique contributions of the paper, theoretical and managerial implications, limitations of the research and future research directions.
8. The language of the paper needs a careful editing. Otherwise, the paper will be rejected.
9. References must follow the style of IJPQM.

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the article but are not essential for publication:

NA

REVIEWER 2

Review date: 30 May 2020

MANUSCRIPT FEATURES

Originality of the work: Good

Subject relevance: Good

Professional/industrial relevance: Acceptable

Completeness of the work: Good

Acknowledgement of the work of others by references: Honours

Organisation of the manuscript: Good

Clarity in writing tables graphs and illustrations: Good

Likelihood of passing the test of time: Acceptable

QUALITY AND RIGOUR

Have you checked the equations and/or statistics? (if applicable): yes

Are you aware of prior publication or presentation of this work?: yes

Is the manuscript free of commercialism?: yes

Is the article too long?: no

RECOMMENDATION: Acceptable with minor revisions

REVIEW COMMENTS:

Changes which must be made before publication:

The Title should be changed to Extra not Extrea. Some literature should be added to articles like Behavioural Studies on Teachers.

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the article but are not essential for publication:

None

REVIEWER 3

Review date: 22 Jun 2020

MANUSCRIPT FEATURES

Originality of the work: Acceptable

Subject relevance: Acceptable

Professional/industrial relevance: Good

Completeness of the work: Good

Acknowledgement of the work of others by references: Acceptable

Organisation of the manuscript: Acceptable

Clarity in writing tables graphs and illustrations: Acceptable

Likelihood of passing the test of time: Acceptable

QUALITY AND RIGOUR

Have you checked the equations and/or statistics? (if applicable): yes

Are you aware of prior publication or presentation of this work?: yes

Is the manuscript free of commercialism?: yes

Is the article too long?: no

RECOMMENDATION: Acceptable with minor revisions

REVIEW COMMENTS:

Changes which must be made before publication:

Need bit correction on title to be more catchy

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the article but are not essential for publication:

none

*** End of reviewer comments ***

Guidelines for submitting your revised article:

1) To help the reviewers check that you have made the required corrections, please insert at the beginning of your revised article a detailed response to the reviewers' recommendations. Make sure you address each recommendation thoroughly and methodically. You should also show where the reviewers can find your change in the revised article by referring to the page number and preferably highlighting the updated text.

2) To upload your revised version, please:

Log in at <https://www.indersciencesubmissions.com/>

(you can get username and password reminders there)

Go to the 'Track progress of your article and submit revisions' section.

Locate your article and download the original submission file.

Make your changes as required and then click on 'Choose file' to select your revised document and then click 'Upload'.

3) By clicking on "Author/Editor Communication" you can read the reviewers' comments and any annotated files.



Yustinus Budi <yustinus.budi@ukdc.ac.id>

Tue, Jun 23, 2020,
9:11 AM

to daniel.susilo, y.budiher

Selamat pagi Mas Daniel, ini ada info dari

Inderscience Submissions untuk revisi, terimakasih

salam hormat
y budi hermanto

----- Forwarded message -----



Yustinus Budi <yustinus.budi@ukdc.ac.id>

Tue, Jun 23, 2020,
9:11 AM

to veronika, y.budiher



Reply Reply all Forward

Url: <https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#search/inderscience/FMfcgwxJWjCqrISTKbMsNLrDwmLkSsfh>

Inderscience Publishers: Article accepted for publication - IJPQM-43551

Inbox
Updates



Inderscience Submissions <no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com>
to sveronikaagustinis, me

Thu, Jul 9, 2020,
1:27 PM



Dear Dr. Yustinus Budi Hermanto,

(Co-authors are copied into this email for information purposes.)

Ref: Article title: "The Role of Servant Leadership and Work Engagement in Improving Extra_Role Behavior and Teacher Performance"
Submission code: IJPQM-43551

I am pleased to inform you that your article has been accepted for publication in Int. J. of Productivity and Quality Management.

You now need to upload the final revised version for this article and your author copyright agreement form(s) or your Open Access order form.

The reviewers' comments are added to the end of this email for your

information. There may be some useful suggestions for improving your final version.

*** INSTRUCTIONS ***

Log in to the Inderscience Submissions system. There is a reminder facility there if you have forgotten your username or password.

Then use the following link, and you will be taken to the correct section for uploading:

<https://www.indersciencesubmissions.com/track/index.php?action=submitmissiondetails&intSubmissionId=43551>

1. Scroll down the page to the section called "Peer Review Progress".

Use "Edit metadata" link to make any required corrections to the title, abstract or keywords. Please also review carefully for any spelling or grammatical errors.

Remember to use the "Save" button to save your changes.

It is not possible for you to make changes to author information yourself. If changes are essential, then you will need to get approval by contacting the journal's Editor in Chief with your change request and a clear reason for the change.

This request must come from all the authors, including the person you are adding or removing and they must be copied into the email you send.

2. At "Type of Article" select from the drop-down list whether the article is a "Standard" article or an "Open Access" article.

If you are submitting an Open Access article, select the OA Licence and upload your completed OA order form, and then move onto point 4 on this list.

(See more information on the Inderscience web site at https://www.inderscience.com/info/inauthors/author_oa.php)

3. If you are submitting a Standard article, use the "Browse" or "Choose file" button to locate and insert your signed Author Copyright Agreement Form. Then use the adjacent 'Upload' button.

We must receive a signature from every author.

Author signatures can be all on one form or on separate forms.

If there is more than one form, please submit forms all together in a zipped file.

It is not acceptable for one author to sign on behalf of the other authors.

The Author Copyright Agreement Form (and instructions on how to sign and submit it) can be found on the Inderscience website at https://www.inderscience.com/info/inauthors/author_copyright.php

If you are publishing as Open Access, you do not need to submit a copyright agreement form.

4. Next you need to insert the final version of your article.

Find your latest version. (You can download and use the file you submitted to our system if you wish. See 'Author's original submission' or 'Author's revised version')

Re-insert the author details, such as name, email address, institution and biographical statement in the first page of your document.

Be sure to remove your reply or any response to reviewers that might show at the beginning of your article.

Save your changes and rename the file "authorFinalVersion.doc" or "authorFinalVersion.docx".

No other files types are accepted.

(if this is a LaTeX file, please upload a pdf version as a supplementary file).

Now return to our submissions system, and use the "Browse" or "Choose file" button to insert this file and then use the adjacent 'Upload' button.

5. Check that you have done all 4 steps above as required and then use the "Submit" button to complete the process.

Thank you! Your continuing cooperation is most appreciated.

With kind regards,
Prof. Angappa Gunasekaran (Editor for this submission)
Int. J. of Productivity and Quality Management
<https://www.inderscience.com/IJPQM>

REVIEWER 1 (Offline Reviewer)
Review date: 22 Jun 2020

MANUSCRIPT FEATURES

Originality of the work: Acceptable

Subject relevance: Marginal

Professional/industrial relevance: Marginal

Completeness of the work: Marginal

Acknowledgement of the work of others by references: Marginal

Organisation of the manuscript: Marginal

Clarity in writing tables graphs and illustrations: Acceptable

Likelihood of passing the test of time: Marginal

QUALITY AND RIGOUR

Have you checked the equations and/or statistics? (if applicable): yes

Are you aware of prior publication or presentation of this work?: yes

Is the manuscript free of commercialism?: yes

Is the article too long?: no

RECOMMENDATION: Acceptable with major revisions

REVIEW COMMENTS:

Changes which must be made before publication:

The subject of the paper looks interesting and suitable for IJPQM. However, the paper requires a revision before it can be accepted for publication:

1. Number the main and sub.sections correctly to avoid any confusion.
2. The introduction section should include 4.6 latest journal references (2017.2020) and appropriate extracts from them to motivate the researchers in the subject.
3. Develop the literature review part of the paper to include 6.8 latest journal references (2017.2020) and relevant extracts from them. Please include 4.6 references from IJPQM.
4. The research objectives and methodology should be better explained and motivated.
5. The theoretical/conceptual framework/mathematical model should be described and motivated further.
6. The results and analysis section should be better analyzed and developed further.
7. Develop the conclusions section to include the unique contributions of the paper, theoretical and managerial implications, limitations of the research and future research directions.
8. The language of the paper needs a careful editing. Otherwise, the paper will be rejected.
9. References must follow the style of IJPQM.

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the article but are not essential for publication:

NA

REVIEWER 2

Review date: 30 May 2020

MANUSCRIPT FEATURES

Originality of the work: Good

Subject relevance: Good

Professional/industrial relevance: Acceptable

Completeness of the work: Good

Acknowledgement of the work of others by references: Honours

Organisation of the manuscript: Good

Clarity in writing tables graphs and illustrations: Good

Likelihood of passing the test of time: Acceptable

QUALITY AND RIGOUR

Have you checked the equations and/or statistics? (if applicable): yes

Are you aware of prior publication or presentation of this work?: yes

Is the manuscript free of commercialism?: yes

Is the article too long?: no

RECOMMENDATION: Acceptable with minor revisions

REVIEW COMMENTS:

Changes which must be made before publication:

The Title should be changed to Extra not Extrea. Some literature should be added to articles like Behavioural Studies on Teachers.

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the article but

are not essential for publication:
None

REVIEWER 3

Review date: 22 Jun 2020

MANUSCRIPT FEATURES

Originality of the work: Acceptable

Subject relevance: Acceptable

Professional/industrial relevance: Good

Completeness of the work: Good

Acknowledgement of the work of others by references: Acceptable

Organisation of the manuscript: Acceptable

Clarity in writing tables graphs and illustrations: Acceptable

Likelihood of passing the test of time: Acceptable

QUALITY AND RIGOUR

Have you checked the equations and/or statistics? (if applicable): yes

Are you aware of prior publication or presentation of this work?: yes

Is the manuscript free of commercialism?: yes

Is the article too long?: no

RECOMMENDATION: Acceptable with minor revisions

REVIEW COMMENTS:

Changes which must be made before publication:

Need bit correction on title to be more catchy

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the article but are not essential for publication:

none

* End of reviewer comments *



Reply Reply all Forward

Url: <https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#search/inderscience/FMfcgxwJWjCqrlSTKbMsNLRDwmLkSsfh>

Inderscience Publishers: Article accepted for publication - IJPQM-43551

Inbox

Updates



Inderscience Submissions <no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com>

July 9, 2020,
1:27 PM

to sveronikaagustinis, me



Dear Dr. Yustinus Budi Hermanto,

(Co-authors are copied into this email for information purposes.)

Ref: Article title: "The Role of Servant Leadership and Work

Engagement in Improving Extra_Role Behavior and Teacher Performance"

Submission code: IJPQM-43551

I am pleased to inform you that your article has been accepted for publication in Int. J. of Productivity and Quality Management.

You now need to upload the final revised version for this article and your author copyright agreement form(s) or your Open Access order form.

The reviewers' comments are added to the end of this email for your information. There may be some useful suggestions for improving your final version.

* INSTRUCTIONS *

Log in to the Inderscience Submissions system. There is a reminder facility there if you have forgotten your username or password.

Then use the following link, and you will be taken to the correct section for uploading:

<https://www.indersciencesubmissions.com/track/index.php?action=submissiondetails&intSubmissionId=43551>

1. Scroll down the page to the section called "Peer Review Progress".

Use "Edit metadata" link to make any required corrections to the title, abstract or keywords. Please also review carefully for any spelling or grammatical errors.

Remember to use the "Save" button to save your changes.

It is not possible for you to make changes to author information yourself. If changes are essential, then you will need to get approval by contacting the journal's Editor in Chief with your change request and a clear reason for the change.

This request must come from all the authors, including the person you are adding or removing and they must be copied into the email you send.

2. At "Type of Article" select from the drop-down list whether the article is a "Standard" article or an "Open Access" article.

If you are submitting an Open Access article, select the OA Licence and upload your completed OA order form, and then move onto point 4 on this list.

(See more information on the Inderscience web site at https://www.inderscience.com/info/inauthors/author_oa.php)

3. If you are submitting a Standard article, use the "Browse" or "Choose file" button to locate and insert your signed Author Copyright Agreement Form. Then use the adjacent 'Upload' button.

We must receive a signature from every author.

Author signatures can be all on one form or on separate forms.

If there is more than one form, please submit forms all together in a zipped file.

It is not acceptable for one author to sign on behalf of the other authors.

The Author Copyright Agreement Form (and instructions on how to sign and submit it) can be found on the Inderscience website at https://www.inderscience.com/info/inauthors/author_copyright.php

If you are publishing as Open Access, you do not need to submit a copyright agreement form.

4. Next you need to insert the final version of your article.

Find your latest version. (You can download and use the file you submitted to our system if you wish. See 'Author's original submission' or 'Author's revised version')

Re-insert the author details, such as name, email address, institution and biographical statement in the first page of your document.

Be sure to remove your reply or any response to reviewers that might show at the beginning of your article.

Save your changes and rename the file "authorFinalVersion.doc" or "authorFinalVersion.docx".

No other files types are accepted.

(if this is a LaTeX file, please upload a pdf version as a supplementary file).

Now return to our submissions system, and use the "Browse" or "Choose file" button to insert this file and then use the adjacent 'Upload' button.

5. Check that you have done all 4 steps above as required and then use the "Submit" button to complete the process.

Thank you! Your continuing cooperation is most appreciated.

With kind regards,
Prof. Angappa Gunasekaran (Editor for this submission)
Int. J. of Productivity and Quality Management
<https://www.inderscience.com/IJPQM>

REVIEWER 1 (Offline Reviewer)
Review date: 22 Jun 2020

MANUSCRIPT FEATURES

Originality of the work: Acceptable

Subject relevance: Marginal

Professional/industrial relevance: Marginal

Completeness of the work: Marginal

Acknowledgement of the work of others by references: Marginal

Organisation of the manuscript: Marginal

Clarity in writing tables graphs and illustrations: Acceptable

Likelihood of passing the test of time: Marginal

QUALITY AND RIGOUR

Have you checked the equations and/or statistics? (if applicable): yes

Are you aware of prior publication or presentation of this work?: yes

Is the manuscript free of commercialism?: yes

Is the article too long?: no

RECOMMENDATION: Acceptable with major revisions

REVIEW COMMENTS:

Changes which must be made before publication:

The subject of the paper looks interesting and suitable for IJPQM. However, the paper requires a revision before it can be accepted for publication:

1. Number the main and sub.sections correctly to avoid any confusion.
2. The introduction section should include 4.6 latest journal references (2017.2020) and appropriate extracts from them to motivate the researchers in the subject.
3. Develop the literature review part of the paper to include 6.8 latest journal references (2017.2020) and relevant extracts from them. Please include 4.6 references from IJPQM.
4. The research objectives and methodology should be better explained and motivated.
5. The theoretical/conceptual framework/mathematical model should be described and motivated further.
6. The results and analysis section should be better analyzed and developed further.
7. Develop the conclusions section to include the unique contributions of the paper, theoretical and managerial implications, limitations of the research and future research directions.
8. The language of the paper needs a careful editing. Otherwise, the paper will be rejected.
9. References must follow the style of IJPQM.

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the article but are not essential for publication:

NA

REVIEWER 2

Review date: 30 May 2020

MANUSCRIPT FEATURES

Originality of the work: Good

Subject relevance: Good

Professional/industrial relevance: Acceptable

Completeness of the work: Good

Acknowledgement of the work of others by references: Honours

Organisation of the manuscript: Good

Clarity in writing tables graphs and illustrations: Good

Likelihood of passing the test of time: Acceptable

QUALITY AND RIGOUR

Have you checked the equations and/or statistics? (if applicable): yes

Are you aware of prior publication or presentation of this work?: yes

Is the manuscript free of commercialism?: yes

Is the article too long?: no

RECOMMENDATION: Acceptable with minor revisions

REVIEW COMMENTS:

Changes which must be made before publication:

The Title should be changed to Extra not Extrea. Some literature should be added to articles like Behavioural Studies on Teachers.

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the article but are not essential for publication:

None

REVIEWER 3

Review date: 22 Jun 2020

MANUSCRIPT FEATURES

Originality of the work: Acceptable

Subject relevance: Acceptable

Professional/industrial relevance: Good

Completeness of the work: Good

Acknowledgement of the work of others by references: Acceptable

Organisation of the manuscript: Acceptable

Clarity in writing tables graphs and illustrations: Acceptable

Likelihood of passing the test of time: Acceptable

QUALITY AND RIGOUR

Have you checked the equations and/or statistics? (if applicable): yes

Are you aware of prior publication or presentation of this work?: yes

Is the manuscript free of commercialism?: yes

Is the article too long?: no

RECOMMENDATION: Acceptable with minor revisions

REVIEW COMMENTS:

Changes which must be made before publication:

Need bit correction on title to be more catchy

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the article but are not essential for publication:

none

*** End of reviewer comments ***



ReplyReply allForward

Url: <https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#search/inderscience/FMfcgzGikPRbcDCLpIVZVIqnhDdBJSfg>

Inderscience: Username/Password Reminder

External

Inbox

Updates



Inderscience Publishers <noreply@indersciencemail.com> Sun, Oct 10, 2021,

11:44 PM

to me

Dear Yustinus Budi Hermanto,

Your username and password for the online journal site at <http://www.inderscience.com> are as follows:

Username: hermanto
Password: yustinusbudi

support@inderscience.com

Inderscience Publishers



Inderscience Publishers <noreply@indersciencemail.com> Sun, Oct 10, 2021,

11:46 PM

to me



ReplyForward


Url: <https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#search/inderscience/FMfcgwxKjndCFCvQzRXcKstnkQZwHcJB>

Inderscience Publishers: IJPQM-43551 - Final proof of article ready for you to check

External

Inbox

Updates



Inderscience Submissions <no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com>

Fri, Dec 11, 2020,
4:22 PM

to me

Dear Dr. Yustinus Budi Hermanto,

With regards to:

Article title: "The Role of Servant Leadership and Work Engagement in Improving Extra-Role Behavior and Teacher Performance"

Submission code: IJPQM-43551

Submitted to: Int. J. of Productivity and Quality Management

The final proof of your article is now ready to be checked - Please log in to the submission system to do this and go to 'Track progress' section.

<https://www.indersciencesubmissions.com>

If you have any further changes, please upload another annotated Amendment Sheet using the facility available in the system, under the pdf file display.

Please also use the 'Post a comment' feature to send a note to the typesetter indicating that you are submitting new changes.

It is important that you approve this final version by re-uploading it within the next seven days to avoid any delay in publication.

If we do not hear from you within this time period, this final proof version will be published.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Please do not use the 'Reply' function with this email - we will not receive your message.

Kind regards,
The Inderscience Submissions Team
Inderscience Publishers Ltd.



ReplyForward