| Journal Name: | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change |
|--------------------------|---|
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJECC_107772 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Problems and Suggestions Under Extent of Adoption of Recommended Mushroom Production Technology by Mushroor |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy)

oom Growers of Jabalpur District (M.P.)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Au the ma |
|--|---|-----------------|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) | 1. This text is very important for mushroom farmers to increase income and for the government as a guide in making policies | <u>his</u> |
| 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | 2. The title of the article is appropriate | |
| 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? | 3. The abstract is complete | |
| 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | 4. Subsections and structures are appropriate | |
| 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? | 5. This manuscript is scientifically correct | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. | 6. The references are very lacking and too few, the articles used as references are too old, more than five years | |
| (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The quality of articles in English is suitable for scientific communication | |
| Optional/General comments | | |
| | | |
| | | |

uthor's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct be manuscript and highlight that part in the banuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write is/her feedback here)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comn manuscript and mandatory that |
|---|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) There aren't ethical issues in this manuscript | |
| Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript? | There aren't competing interests in this manuscript | |
| If plagiarism is suspected, please provide related proofs or web links. | No plagiarism occurs | |

PART 3: Declaration of Competing Interest of the reviewer:

Here reviewer should declare his/her competing interest. If nothing to declare he/she can write "I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer"

"I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer

PART 4: Objective Evaluation:

| Guideline | MARKS of this manuscript |
|---|--------------------------|
| Give OVERALL MARKS you want to give to this manuscript | |
| (Highest: 10 Lowest: 0) | |
| Guideline: | |
| Accept As It Is: (>9-10) | 8.5 |
| Minor Revision: (>8-9) | 0.5 |
| Major Revision: (>7-8) | |
| Serious Major revision: (>5-7) | |
| Rejected (with repairable deficiencies and may be reconsidered): (>3-5) | |
| Strongly rejected (with irreparable deficiencies.): (>0-3) | |

PART 5: Reviewer Details: This information is mandatory to prepare the Reviewer Certificate properly. Certificate preparation will not be possible if incomplete information is received.

| Name of the Reviewer | Dr. Maria Widyastuti, MM | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| Department of Reviewer | Management | |
| University or Institution of Reviewer | Darma Cendika Chatolic University | |
| Country of Reviewer | Indonesia | |
| Position: (Professor/lecturer, etc.) of Reviewer | Lecturer | |

mment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the nd highlight that part in the manuscript. It is nat authors should write his/her feedback here)

| Email ID of Reviewer | Maria.widyastuti@ukdc.ac.id |
|--|--|
| WhatsApp Number of Reviewer | 0818598983 |
| 5-8 Keywords regarding expertise of Reviewer | Must be objective and detailed without interests |