

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_106576</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Pioneering Challenges: Exploring Multifaceted Obstacles in Agricultural Mechanization in Tamil Nadu</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>1. This manuscript is important for the development of science</b></p> <p><b>2. The title of the article is appropriate</b></p> <p><b>3. The abstract is complete</b></p> <p><b>4. Subsections and structures are appropriate</b></p> <p><b>5. This manuscript is scientifically correct</b></p> <p><b>6. It would be nice if the references were added to the latest journals or five years back</b></p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>The quality of articles in English is suitable for scientific communication</b></p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>		

## Review Form 1.7

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>  There are no ethical issues in this manuscript	
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?	There are no competing interests in this manuscript	
If plagiarism is suspected, <u>please provide related proofs or web links.</u>	no plagiarism occurs	

### **PART 3: Declaration of Competing Interest of the reviewer:**

Here reviewer should declare his/her competing interest. If nothing to declare he/she can write "I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer"

"I declare that I have no competing interests as a reviewer."

### **PART 4: Objective Evaluation:**

Guideline	MARKS of this manuscript
Give OVERALL MARKS you want to give to this manuscript ( Highest: 10 Lowest: 0 )  <b>Guideline:</b> Accept As It Is: (>9-10) Minor Revision: (>8-9) Major Revision: (>7-8) Serious Major revision: (>5-7) Rejected (with repairable deficiencies and may be reconsidered): (>3-5) Strongly rejected (with irreparable deficiencies.): (>0-3)	<b>9</b>

### **PART 5: Reviewer Details:**

**This information is mandatory to prepare the Reviewer Certificate properly.**  
**Certificate preparation will not be possible if incomplete information is received.**

Name of the Reviewer	Dr. Maria Widyastuti, MM
----------------------	--------------------------

## Review Form 1.7

Department of Reviewer	Management
University or Institution of Reviewer	Darma Cendika Chatolic University
Country of Reviewer	Indonesia
Position: (Professor/lecturer, etc.) of Reviewer	Lecturer
Email ID of Reviewer	Maria.widyastuti@ukdc.ac.id
WhatsApp Number of Reviewer	0818598983
5-8 Keywords regarding expertise of Reviewer	Must be objective and detailed without interests

# Pioneering Challenges: Exploring Multifaceted Obstacles in Agricultural Mechanization in Tamil Nadu, India

Vol 13, Issue 10, 2023

<https://journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/article/view/3047>

The screenshot shows the article page for "Pioneering Challenges: Exploring Multifaceted Obstacles in Agricultural Mechanization in Tamil Nadu, India" on the International Journal of Environment and Climate Change website. The page includes a navigation menu with options like "ABOUT", "ARCHIVES", "INDEXING", "PUBLICATION CHARGE", "SUBMISSION", "TESTIMONIALS", and "ANNOUNCEMENTS". A search bar is located in the top right corner. The article title is prominently displayed, followed by the author list: S. Abirami (corresponding author), P. Jaisridhar, A. P. Mohan Kumar, M. Santha Sheela, and Patil Santosh Ganapati. Each author's affiliation is provided. The article is published on 2023-09-21, with a DOI of 10.9734/ijecc/2023/v13i103047, page number 3745-3753, and is part of Volume 13, Issue 10. The abstract states the aim is to understand constraints in agricultural mechanization and that the study design is comprehensive. Buttons for "Full Article - PDF" and "Review History" are visible on the left side.

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/106576>

The screenshot displays the "OPEN PEER REVIEW SUPPORT company" review history page for the article "Pioneering Challenges: Exploring Multifaceted Obstacles in Agricultural Mechanization in Tamil Nadu, India". The page features a navigation bar with "Home" and "Contact" links. The review history is detailed as follows:

- Editor(s):**
  - (1) Dr. Afroz Alam, Associate Professor, Banasthali University (accredited A grade by NAAC), P.O. Banasthali Vidyapith, India
  - (2) Prof. Wen-Cheng Liu, National United University, Taiwan
- Reviewers:**
  - (1) James Mwashori, University of Nairobi, Kenya
  - (2) Maria Widyastuti, Darma Cendika Chatolic University, Indonesia
- Additional Reviewers:**
  - (1) Adevole Oluokorede, Italy
  - (2) Allene B. Anonuevo, Philippines
  - (3) Maria Widyastuti, Darma Cendika Chatolic University, Indonesia
- Additional Reviewers: (Comments received after deadline)**
  - (1) Ezekiel Ayinde Alani, Ladoko Akintola University of Technology, Nigeria

The page also includes an "Open Peer Review Policy" link, a "Specific Comment" section, and average peer review marks for initial (8/10) and publication (9/10) stages. The review history table shows the following stages:

Stage	Description	Reviewer	Mark
Stage 1	Original Manuscript	File 1	NA
Stage 2	Peer review report_1	(James Mwashori, Kenya)   File 1	NA
Stage 2	Peer review report_2	(Maria Widyastuti, Indonesia)   File 1	NA
Stage 2	Revised MS_v1_and_Feedback_v1	File 1   File 2	